

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,001 10/31/2003		2003	Kyoko Matsuda	0033-0907P	8244	
2292	7590	08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
	EWART KOL	VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS				
PO BOX 74' FALLS CHU	7 JRCH, VA 22	2040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2828	2828		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/697,001	MATSUDA ET AL.		
Examiner why	Art Unit		
Tod T. Van Roy	2828		

	Tod T. Van Roy	Jan 1	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the d	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CON	DITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an otice of Appeal (with	amendment, aff appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	e of the final rejection	_		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2)	the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		OX (b) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corre shortened statutory p r than three months a	esponding amount eriod for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CF	R 41.37 must be	filed within two month	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 C	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•	•		ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		search (see NO	I	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		I by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding nur	nber of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		,,,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitte	ed in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ll be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the nd sufficient reason	date of filing a N s why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all reject	ions under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	-		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place	the application is	n condition for allows	uce pecalise.
	at docs 1401 place	are application i	ii condition for allowa	booduse.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PT	O-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	. \
			MINSUN CILL	المنتانية المنافعة
			PRIMARY ETT.	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the "stochastic resonance" limitation would necessitate further consideration and a new search.